

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 9027925-011 05070037008 海岸的优势 **EXAMINER** EPS (0.81140) MGUYEN. T CHARLES H THOMAS 4201 LONG BEACH BOULDMARD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 500 TH 405 LONG BRACH CA 90887 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

02/15/00

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/325,311**

Applicant(s)

Shoup

Examiner

Trinh Nguyen

Group Art Unit 3726



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 30, 1999</u>	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension of the second secon	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
XI Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The drawing(s) filed on	is Eapproved Edisapproved. / under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) [X] Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 [I] Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper [I] Interview Summary, PTO-413 [X] Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-152 [I] Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/325,311 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "32" in line 2 of page 12 has been used to designate both the longitudinal edge and the sheet metal strip, and reference character "38" in line 4 of page 13 has been used to designate both an indentation and a pair of miter cuts. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-6, 8-12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following are examples only:

In claim 2: the phrase "forming said stile members and said transverse rail members...which said security bars pass" is unclear and confusing because this phrase is not clearly understood as written; and also, is the phrase "said transverse rail members" referred to as "said rail members" or another rail members?

Application/Control Number: 09/325,311

Art Unit: 3726

In claim 3: the phrases "to reside in a common plane", "said stile members to said attachment flanges thereof", and "said transverse rail members to said attachment flanges thereof" are unclear and confusing.

In claim 8: the phrases "whereby said attachment flanges all project inwardly within said rectangle and lie in a common plane", and "whereby said security bar receiving openings in each of said perimeter segment members reside proximate to said security bar attachment flange thereof on one side of said common plane" are confusing; and also, is the phrase "said perimeter segment members" and "said hollow segment members" are the same segment member?

In claim 11: the word "lest" should be rewritten as "least"; the phrase "their ends" is unclear because the examiner is unable to determine which member's "ends" applicant is referring to, i.e. the perimeter segment member or the corner tabs projecting.

The above noted defects are merely representative and not intended to be a complete listing thereof. Applicant is required to make corrections to all the claims wherever appropriate in order to clarify same if the prosecution is continued.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/325,311

Art Unit: 3726

5. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (US 5,862,645) in view of Bruhnke et al. (US 4,470,717).

Lee teaches a method of fabricating a metal security frame comprising the steps of: forming four hollow segments (122) having a plurality of receiving openings (1221); and placing a plurality of security bars (13, 14) within the receiving openings to form a complete security frame.

Lee does not teach the step of spot welding the ends of the security bars to the hollow segments.

However, Bruhnke et al. clearly teach that spot welding metal frame members so as to form a stronger bond between members is an old and conventional technique. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to spot welded the security bars to the hollow segments, as taught in Bruhnke et al., in order to provide a more efficient bond between members; thus, reduce manufacturing cost.

6. Claims 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Bruhnke et al., and further in view of Goldsmith (US 2,568,148).

Lee/Bruhnke et al. teaches the above limitations except for forming a flange member in which the security bars reside in contact therewith.

Goldsmith teaches a frame member (11) having a flange member (19) and a receiving aperture (15) therefrom, wherein the security bar (10) is inserted in the receiving aperture so that the security bar resides in contact with the flange member as shown in Figures 4-8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the frame members of Lee/Bruhnke et al. to include the step of forming a flange member in which the

Art Unit: 3726

security bars reside in contact therewith, as taught by Goldsmith, in order to create a better fit between the members thereof.

7. Claims 4, 5, 11, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 2 and 13 above, and further in view of Stern (US 5,018,263).

The references, as applied to claims 2 and 13 above, teach the above limitations except for the steps of "punching", "cutting", "rolling", "crimping", and "bending" a sheet metal strip to form mitered corners between the frame members.

Stern teaches a method for making such mitered corners from a sheet metal strip (10) as shown in Figures 1-9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reshape a metal strip into mitered corners by performing those steps as taught in Stern, in order to provide a more efficient manufacturing steps in which a quality frame member can be manufactured at a low cost.

8. Claims 6, 12, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 5, 11, and 15 above, and further in view of Janotik et al. (US 5,549,352).

The references, as applied to claims 5, 11, and 15 above, teach the above limitations except for providing an access opening within the frame members so that the spot welding tool can be inserted therein to weld the members together.

Application/Control Number: 09/325,311

Art Unit: 3726

Janotik et al. teach a method of constructing a frame member where access opening for the spot welding tool is provided. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct an access opening within the frame members, as taught in Janotik et al., in order to allow the insertion of the spot welding tool.

With respect to claim 16, whether the steps for "inserting" and "withdrawing" internal spot welding electrodes are conducted in a particular order such as prior to or after is a matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved, or any new or unexpected result achieved, and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the internal spot welding electrodes conducted in any order.

With respect to claims 6 and 12, the steps "inserting internal spot welding tips...", "bringing external spot welding tips...", and "passing electric currents between said internal and said external spot welding tips...", as such, are inherent steps within Janotik et al.'s method, since these steps are the necessary steps that one must carry out when performing a spot welding technique.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 3, and 8-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3726

· Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited on form PTO-892 encloses herewith.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Trinh Nguyen** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-9082**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

TTN

February 9, 2000

Au 3728